

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****AUG 31 2007****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Daniel Crosson	§	Art Unit:	2616
Serial No.:	09/819,911	§		
Filed:	March 28, 2001	§	Examiner:	Thong H. Vu
For:	A Software Based Internet Protocol Address Selection Method and System	§	Atty. Dkt. No.:	10006946-1 (HPC.0202US)

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUMMARY OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Dear Sir:

On August 30, 2007, a telephonic interview was conducted between the undersigned and the Examiner. In the telephonic interview, the inappropriateness of the finality of the rejection was discussed. The latest Office Action (6/4/07 Office Action) issued a new ground of rejection (§ 103 over Lamberton and Dynarski) against claim 1, even though claim 1 was un-amended, and the previous rejection was a § 102 rejection over Lamberton alone. In view of the new ground of rejection raised against an un-amended claim, the undersigned noted to the Examiner that issuing a final Office Action was improper.

The Examiner disagreed, noting that Lamberton and Dynarski were previously of record. However, even though they were of record, the Examiner did not issue a § 103 rejection against claim 1 over Lamberton and Dynarski previously. Thus, clearly, the new rejection against claim 1 is a new ground of rejection not previously raised by the Examiner.

Date of Deposit:	<u>August 31, 2007</u>
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on the date indicated above.	
<u>Ginger Yount</u>	
Ginger Yount	


Appln. Serial No. 09/819,911
Summary of Telephonic Interview

No agreement was reached in the telephonic interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

8-31-2007



Dan C. Hu

Registration No. 40,025

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.

1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750

Houston, TX 77057-2631

Telephone: (713) 468-8880

Facsimile: (713) 468-8883